

Executive summary

Local government impacts the lives of citizens every day. Local authorities are responsible for a wide range of important services: social care, education, housing, planning and waste collection, as well as services such as licensing, registering births, marriages and deaths, and pest control. Their proximity to local people means that their decisions can directly affect citizens' quality of life.

High standards of conduct in local government are therefore needed to protect the integrity of decision-making, maintain public confidence, and safeguard local democracy.

Our evidence supports the view that the vast majority of councillors and officers maintain high standards of conduct. There is, however, clear evidence of misconduct by some councillors. The majority of these cases relate to bullying or harassment, or other disruptive behaviour. There is also evidence of persistent or repeated misconduct by a minority of councillors.

We are also concerned about a risk to standards under the current arrangements, as a result of the current rules around declaring interests, gifts and hospitality, and the increased complexity of local government decision-making.

Giving local authorities responsibility for ethical standards has a number of benefits. It allows for flexibility and the discretion to resolve standards issues informally. We have considered whether there is a need for a centralised body to govern and adjudicate on standards. We have concluded that whilst the consistency and independence of the system could be enhanced, there is no reason to reintroduce a centralised body, and that local

authorities should retain ultimate responsibility for implementing and applying the Seven Principles of Public Life in local government.

We have made a number of recommendations and identified best practice to improve ethical standards in local government. Our recommendations are made to government and to specific groups of public officeholders. We recommend a number of changes to primary legislation, which would be subject to Parliamentary timetabling; but also to secondary legislation and the Local Government Transparency Code, which we expect could be implemented more swiftly. Our best practice recommendations for local authorities should be considered a benchmark of good ethical practice, which we expect that all local authorities can and should implement. We will review the implementation of our best practice in 2020.

Codes of conduct

Local authorities are currently required to have in place a code of conduct of their choosing which outlines the behaviour required of councillors. There is considerable variation in the length, quality and clarity of codes of conduct. This creates confusion among members of the public, and among councillors who represent more than one tier of local government. Many codes of conduct fail to address adequately important areas of behaviour such as social media use and bullying and harassment. An updated model code of conduct should therefore be available to local authorities in order to enhance the consistency and quality of local authority codes.







There are, however, benefits to local authorities being able to amend and have ownership of their own codes of conduct. The updated model code should therefore be voluntary and able to be adapted by local authorities. The scope of the code of conduct should also be widened, with a rebuttable presumption that a councillor's public behaviour, including comments made on publicly accessible social media, is in their official capacity.

Declaring and managing interests

The current arrangements for declaring and managing interests are unclear, too narrow and do not meet the expectations of councillors or the public. The current requirements for registering interests should be updated to include categories of non-pecuniary interests. The current rules on declaring and managing interests should be repealed and replaced with an objective test, in line with the devolved standards bodies in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Investigations and safeguards

Monitoring Officers have responsibility for filtering complaints and undertaking investigations into alleged breaches of the code of conduct. A local authority should maintain a standards committee. This committee may advise on standards issues, decide on alleged breaches and sanctions, or a combination of these. Independent members of decision-making standards committees should be able to vote.

Any standards process needs to have safeguards in place to ensure that decisions are made fairly and impartially, and that councillors are protected against politically-motivated, malicious, or unfounded allegations of misconduct. The Independent Person is an important safeguard in the current system. This safeguard should be strengthened and clarified: a local authority should only be able to suspend a councillor where the Independent

Person agrees both that there has been a breach and that suspension is a proportionate sanction. Independent Persons should have fixed terms and legal protections. The view of the Independent Person in relation to a decision on which they are consulted should be published in any formal decision notice.

Sanctions

The current sanctions available to local authorities are insufficient. Party discipline, whilst it has an important role to play in maintaining high standards, lacks the necessary independence and transparency to play the central role in a standards system. The current lack of robust sanctions damages public confidence in the standards system and leaves local authorities with no means of enforcing lower level sanctions, nor of addressing serious or repeated misconduct.

Local authorities should therefore be given the power to suspend councillors without allowances for up to six months. Councillors, including parish councillors, who are suspended should be given the right to appeal to the Local Government Ombudsman, who should be given the power to investigate allegations of code breaches on appeal. The decision of the Ombudsman should be binding.

The current criminal offences relating to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests are disproportionate in principle and ineffective in practice, and should be abolished.



Town and parish councils

Principal authorities have responsibility for undertaking formal investigations of code breaches by parish councillors. This should remain the case. This responsibility, however, can be a disproportionate burden for principal authorities. Parish councils should be required to adopt the code of their principal authority (or the new model code), and a principal authority's decision on sanctions for a parish councillor should be binding. Monitoring Officers should be provided with adequate training, corporate support and resources to undertake their role in providing support on standards issues to parish councils, including in undertaking investigations and recommending sanctions. Clerks should also hold an appropriate qualification to support them to uphold governance within their parish council.

Supporting officers

The Monitoring Officer is the lynchpin of the current standards arrangements. The role is challenging and broad, with a number of practical tensions and the potential for conflicts of interest. Local authorities should put in place arrangements to manage any potential conflicts. We have concluded, however, that the role is not unique in its tensions and can be made coherent and manageable with the support of other statutory officers. Employment protections for statutory officers should be extended, and statutory officers should be supported through training on local authority governance.

Councils' corporate arrangements

At a time of rapid change in local government, decision-making in local councils is getting more complex, with increased commercial activity and partnership working. This complexity risks putting governance under strain. Local authorities setting up separate bodies risk a governance 'illusion', and should

take steps to prevent and manage potential conflicts of interest, particularly if councillors sit on these bodies. They should also ensure that these bodies are transparent and accountable to the council and to the public.

Our analysis of a number of high-profile cases of corporate failure in local government shows that standards risks, where they are not addressed, can become risks of corporate failure. This underlines the importance of establishing and maintaining an ethical culture.

Leadership and culture

An ethical culture requires leadership. Given the multi-faceted nature of local government, leadership is needed from a range of individuals and groups: an authority's standards committee, the Chief Executive, political group leaders, and the chair of the council.

Political groups have an important role to play in maintaining an ethical culture. They should be seen as a semi-formal institution sitting between direct advice from officers and formal processes by the council, rather than a parallel system to the local authority's standards processes. Political groups should set clear expectations of behaviour by their members, and senior officers should maintain effective relationships with political groups, working with them informally to resolve standards issues where appropriate.

The aim of a standards system is ultimately to maintain an ethical culture and ethical practice. An ethical culture starts with tone. Whilst there will always be robust disagreement in a political arena, the tone of engagement should be civil and constructive. Expected standards of behaviour should be embedded through effective induction and ongoing training. Political groups should require their members to attend code of conduct training provided by a local authority, and this should also be









written into national party model group rules. Maintaining an ethical culture day-to-day relies on an impartial, objective Monitoring Officer who has the confidence of all councillors and who is professionally supported by the Chief Executive.

An ethical culture will be an open culture. Local authorities should welcome and foster opportunities for scrutiny, and see it as a way to improve decision making. They should not rely unduly on commercial confidentiality provisions, or circumvent open decision-making processes. Whilst local press can play an important role in scrutinising local government, openness must be facilitated by authorities' own processes and practices.



Number	Recommendation	Responsible body
1	The Local Government Association should create an updated model code of conduct, in consultation with representative bodies of councillors and officers of all tiers of local government.	Local Government Association
2	The government should ensure that candidates standing for or accepting public offices are not required publicly to disclose their home address. The Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012 should be amended to clarify that a councillor does not need to register their home address on an authority's register of interests.	Government
3	Councillors should be presumed to be acting in an official capacity in their public conduct, including statements on publicly-accessible social media. Section 27(2) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to permit local authorities to presume so when deciding upon code of conduct breaches.	Government
4	Section 27(2) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to state that a local authority's code of conduct applies to a member when they claim to act, or give the impression they are acting, in their capacity as a member or as a representative of the local authority.	Government
5	The Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012 should be amended to include: unpaid directorships; trusteeships; management roles in a charity or a body of a public nature; and membership of any organisations that seek to influence opinion or public policy.	Government
	Local authorities should be required to establish a register of gifts and hospitality, with councillors required to record any gifts and hospitality received over a value of £50, or totalling £100 over a year from a single source. This requirement should be included in an updated model code of conduct.	Government







Number	Recommendation	Responsible body
7	Section 31 of the Localism Act 2011 should be repealed, and replaced with a requirement that councils include in their code of conduct that a councillor must not participate in a discussion or vote in a matter to be considered at a meeting if they have any interest, whether registered or not, "if a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably regard the interest as so significant that it is likely to prejudice your consideration or decision-making in relation to that matter".	Government
8	The Localism Act 2011 should be amended to require that Independent Persons are appointed for a fixed term of two years, renewable once.	Government
9	The Local Government Transparency Code should be updated to provide that the view of the Independent Person in relation to a decision on which they are consulted should be formally recorded in any decision notice or minutes.	Government
10	A local authority should only be able to suspend a councillor where the authority's Independent Person agrees both with the finding of a breach and that suspending the councillor would be a proportionate sanction.	Government
11	Local authorities should provide legal indemnity to Independent Persons if their views or advice are disclosed. The government should require this through secondary legislation if needed.	Government / all local authorities
12	Local authorities should be given the discretionary power to establish a decision-making standards committee with voting independent members and voting members from dependent parishes, to decide on allegations and impose sanctions.	Government
13	Councillors should be given the right to appeal to the Local Government Ombudsman if their local authority imposes a period of suspension for breaching the code of conduct.	Government



Number		Responsible body		
14	The Local Government Ombudsman should be given the power to investigate and decide upon an allegation of a code of conduct breach by a councillor, and the appropriate sanction, on appeal by a councillor who has had a suspension imposed. The Ombudsman's decision should be binding on the local authority.	Government		
15	The Local Government Transparency Code should be updated to require councils to publish annually: the number of code of conduct complaints they receive; what the complaints broadly relate to (e.g. bullying; conflict of interest); the outcome of those complaints, including if they are rejected as trivial or vexatious; and any sanctions applied.	Government		
16	Local authorities should be given the power to suspend councillors, without allowances, for up to six months.	Government		
17	The government should clarify if councils may lawfully bar councillors from council premises or withdraw facilities as sanctions. These powers should be put beyond doubt in legislation if necessary.	Government		
18	The criminal offences in the Localism Act 2011 relating to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests should be abolished.	Government		
19	Parish council clerks should hold an appropriate qualification, such as those provided by the Society of Local Council Clerks.	Parish councils		
20	Section 27(3) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to state that parish councils must adopt the code of conduct of their principal authority, with the necessary amendments, or the new model code.	Government		
21	Section 28(11) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to state that any sanction imposed on a parish councillor following the finding of a breach is to be determined by the relevant principal authority.	Government		
	The Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 should be amended to provide that disciplinary protections for statutory officers extend to all disciplinary action, not just dismissal.	Government		



Number	Recommendation	Responsible body		
23	The Local Government Transparency Code should be updated to provide that local authorities must ensure that their whistleblowing policy specifies a named contact for the external auditor alongside their contact details, which should be available on the authority's website.	Government		
24	Councillors should be listed as 'prescribed persons' for the purposes of the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998.	Government		
25	Councillors should be required to attend formal induction training by their political groups. National parties should add such a requirement to their model group rules.	Political groups National political parties		
26	Local Government Association corporate peer reviews should also include consideration of a local authority's processes for maintaining ethical standards.	Local Government Association		

THIS FORM IS FOR INFORMATION ONLY - DO NOT COMPLETE PLEASE USE THE ONLINE SURVEY FORM TO SUBMIT YOUR RESPONSE

LGA Consultation on Draft Model Member Code of Conduct

Thank you for taking the time to complete this consultation. This Model Member Code of Conduct [Model Member Code of Conduct.pdf] aims to be concise, written in plain English and be understandable to members, officers and the public. The Model Member Code is designed to aid members in all tiers of local government model the behaviours and high standards that anyone would expect from a person holding public office. Equally, it articulates behaviour which falls below the standards that would be expected of council members. It is designed to per set a framework for public and councillor interaction, emphasising the importance of civility and that councillors should be protected from bullying, intimidation and abuse.

The LGA has reviewed the existing Model Member Code of Conduct and updated it here incorporating the recommendations from the Committee on Standard's in Public Life's recommendations on Local Government Ethical Standards and the representation from its membership. Part of the Committee's recommendations were the introduction of sanctions for breaches of the code, alongside an appeals process. This aspect is out of scope of this consultation, as it requires legislative changes by Government, but the LGA has sought to reflect some of the possible changes by using square brackets where legal changes would be necessary. The LGA is continuing to take soundings from the sector on the issue of sanctions in anticipation of a Government response to the Committee's recommendations.

This consultation addresses key areas that the CA would like a view on to help finalise the Code. It is aimed at councillors and officers from all tiers of local government. If you would like a wider discussion about the code, please do sign up to one of the forthcoming Webinars the LGA are holding as part of this consultation. Details will be posted on our <u>LGA events website</u>.

Instructions and privacy notice

You can navigate through the questions using the buttons at the bottom of each page. Use the 'previous' button at the bottom of the page if you wish to amend your response to an earlier question.

All responses will be treated confidentially. Information will be aggregated, and no individual or authority will be identified in any publications without your consent. Identifiable information may be used internally within the LGA but will only be held and processed in accordance with our privacy policy. We are undertaking this consultation to aid the legitimate interests of the LGA in supporting and representing authorities.

Please complete your response in one go - if you exit before submitting your response your answers may be lost. If you would like to see an overview of the questions before completing the consultation online, you can access a PDF here.

About you
Your name
Are you
O A councillor
○ An officer
Answering on behalf of a whole council (Please provide council name pelow)
Other (please specify below)
Please indicate your council type
○ Community/Neighbourhood/Parish/Town
O District/Borough
○ County ○
Metropolitan/Unitary/London Borough
Other (please specify below)

Application of the Code

Under the Localism Act 2012, the Code of Conduct applies to councillors only when they are acting in their capacity as a member. The LGA believes that because councillors are elected by the public and widely recognised by the public, it makes sense for them to continue to model these behaviours when they are making public comment, are identifying as a councillor and when it would be reasonable for the public to identify them as acting or speaking as a councillor. The Committee on Standards in Public Life supported this approach in their report into Local Government Ethical Standards. Whilst the LGA is waiting for Government's response to these recommendations the option has been added in square brackets as it would need changes in legislation.

Q1. To what extent do you support the proposal that councillors demonstrate the behaviours set out in the Code when they are publicly acting as, identifying as, and/or giving the impression that they are acting as a councillor, including when representing their council on official business and when using social media?
○ To a great extent
○ To a moderate extent
○ To a small extent
O Not at all
 ○ To a moderate extent ○ To a small extent ○ Not at all ○ Don't know/prefer not to say Q1a. If you would like to elaborate on your answer please do not be a single or your answer and your answer and your answer and y
Q1a. If you would like to elaborate on your answer please do prime:
Q2. Is it sufficiently clear which parts of the Model Code are legal requirements, which are obligations, and which are guidance? Yes No Don't know
O Don't know
23. Do you prefer the use of the personal tense, as used in the Code, or would you prefer the passive tense? Personal tense ("I will") Passive tense ("Councillors should") No preference

Specific obligations

The Code lists <u>12 specific obligations</u> – these set out a minimum standard councillors are asked to adhere to.

Each obligation or group of obligations is put into a wider context to explain why that particular obligation is important.

Q4. To what extent to you support the 12 specific obligations?

	To a great extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all	Don't know / Prefer not
 Treating other councillors and members of the public with civility. 				26/	/ Prefer not
2. Treating council employees, employees and representatives of partner organisations and those volunteering for the councils with civility and respecting the role that they play.		~C	,00°C	or	
Not bullying or harassing any person.		,O			
4. Not compromising, or attempting to compromise, the impartiality of anyone who works for, or on behalf of, the council.	Coul	}			
5. Not disclosing information given to me in confidence of disclosing information acquired by me which I believe to a confidential nature, urness I have received the consent of a person authorised to give it or I am required by law to do so.					
6. Not of eventing anyone of till of information that they are exitled to by law.				elective and the second second	
7. Not bringing my role or council into disrepute.				1-20 MM (P	
8. Not using, or attempting to use, my position improperly to the advantage or disadvantage of myself or anyone else.					-

Not misusing council resources.	
10. Registering and declaring my interests.	
11. Not accepting significant gifts or hospitality from persons seeking to acquire, develop or do business with the council or from persons who may apply to the council for any permission, licence or other significant advantage.	20 Complete
12. Registering with the monitoring officer any gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25 within 28 days of its receipt. Q5. If you would like to propose additionary as provide more comment on a specific	onal ortalternative obligations, or would like to
provide more comment on a specific o	bligation, please do so here:
),
100	
OS Would von motor O	
t is set out in the current draft, with th	tions as a long list followed by the guidance, or as
O As a list	
C Each specific obligation followed I	y its relevant guidance
O preference	

Q7. To what extent to you think the concept of 'acting with civility' is sufficiently clear	?
○ To a great extent	
○ To a moderate extent	
○ To a small extent	
○ Not at all	3
○ Not at all ○ Don't know/prefer not to say	
Q7a. If you would like to suggest an alternative phrase that captures the same meaning or would like to provide a comment on this concept, please do so here.	
Q8. To what extent do you think the concept of 'pringing the council into disrepute' is	
sufficiently clear?	
○ To a great extent	
○ To a moderate extent	
To a great extent To a moderate extent To a small extent	
O Not at all	
O Don't know/prefer hot to say	
Q8a. If you would like to suggest an alternative phrase that captures the same meanin or would like to provide a comment on this concept, please do so here:	g,

cod	To what extent do you support the definition of bullying and harassment used in the e in a local government context?
(○ To a great extent
(○ To a moderate extent
(To a small extent
	Not at all
	Not at all Don't know/prefer not to say
Q9a. here.	If there are other definitions you would like to recommend, please provide them
_	700
Q10. Is	there sufficient reference to the use of social media?
0	Yes
0	No
0	Don't know/prefer not to say
	should social mediate covered in a separate code or integrated into the overall
O II	ntegrated into the code
< C	ep't know/prefer not to say
210b. If of social	you would like to make any comments or suggestions in relation to how the use media is covered in the code please do so here:

Registration and declarations of interests

The law at present requires, as a minimum, registration and declaration of 'Disclosable Pecuniary Interests' - that is matters which directly relate to the councillor and their partner if applicable.

The LGA is proposing that all councillors are required to declare interests where matters also relate to or affect other family members or associates. The LGA has broadened the requirement to declare interests beyond this current statutory minimum in line with a recommendation from the Committee on Standards in Public Life. These specific provisions are set out in Apprendix B of the Code.

Q11. To what extent to you support the code going beyond the current requirement to declare interests of the councillor and their partner?
○ To a great extent
○ To a moderate extent
○ To a small extent
○ Not at all
○ Don't know/prefer not to say
Q11a. If you would like to elaborate on your answer please do so here:
*Othor
Q12. Should the requirement to declare interests be in the main body of the code or in the appendix where the draft model code currently references it?
in the main body of the code
In the appendix
Other (please specify below)
O Don't know/prefer not to say

is also suggested that more outside inteinimum. These are set out in Table 2 o e community transparency about other	T THE ANNA	adiv and and		200,0000	Catútory vate to
13. To what extent do you support th gistration?	e inclusior	of these a	dditional	ategories	for
	To a great extent	To a moderate	o a small extent	Not at	Don't know / Prefer not
ny organisation, association, society party of which you are a member or a position of general control or anagement and to which you are pointed or nominated by the council	14	O _O			to say
party that exercises functions of a ublic nature of which you are a ember or in a position of general ntrol or management					
ny organisation, association, society party directed to charitable purposes					
y organisation association, society party of whose principal purposes ludes the muleuce of public opinion policy (il cluding any political party or de union)					
a. If you would like to propose additionate	al or alterna	tive catego	ries for rea	istration r	Nease

Q14. To what extent to you support the proposed requirement that councillors do not accept significant gifts as set out in Obligation 11?
○ To a great extent
○ To a moderate extent
○ To a small extent
○ Not at all
 To a small extent Not at all Don't know/prefer not to say Q14a. If you would like to elaborate on your answer please do so here:
Q14a. If you would like to elaborate on your answer please do so here:
Q15. The draft code proposes £25 as the threshold for registering gifts and hospitality. Is this an appropriate threshold? O Yes O Yes, but the amount should be reviewed annually with the code's review O No, it should be lower (please specify amount) O No, it should be higher (please specify amount)
O Don't know/prefer hot to say
Q16. The LGA will be producing accompanying guidance to the code. Which of the following types of guidance would you find most useful? Please rank 1-5, with 1 being the most useful. Regularly updated examples of case law Explanatory guidance on the code Case studies and examples of good practice Supplementary guidance that focuses on specific areas, e.g., social media Improvement support materials, such as training and e-learning packages

	f you would like to suggest any other accompanying guidance please do so h
047 16.	
	you would like to make any further comments about the code please so held:
	A Ple
-	co d.
nce you	press the 'Submit' button below, you will have completed war response.
lany tha ersonal ave you ee our p	nks for taking the time to respond to this consultation. You are in control of any data that you have provided to us in your response. You can contact us at all times rinformation changed or deleted. You can find our full privacy policy here: click here
	v
	$O(U_I)$
	* O'
	atilo.
	ornatio.
£2	informatio.
٠ ٢	information only